

Conversion of Thionoesters and Thionolactones to Ethers; a General and Efficient Radical Desulfurisation

Kyriacos C. Nicolaou,^{a,*} Mitsunobu Sato,^a Emmanuel A. Theodorakis^a and Neil D. Miller^a


^a Department of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, 10666 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037, USA

^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, California 92093, USA


Triphenyltin hydride reduces thionoesters and thionolactones to their corresponding ethers in high yield.

The past few years have witnessed a dramatic growth in the development and application of free radical reactions in organic synthesis.¹ This advent is mainly a consequence of the availability of different sources of carbon-centred radicals, and the selectivity and mildness of radical based transformations. An important group of radical reactions with widespread synthetic usage relies upon the intriguing propensity of the thiocarbonyl functionality to undergo attack by tin-centred radicals.² As part of our program directed towards the construction of medium- and large-ring molecular frameworks we have developed several reactions involving the thiocarbonyl functionality and demonstrated its synthetic potential.³ Here, we report the one-step conversion of thionoesters and thionolactones to the corresponding ethers using triphenyltin hydride, and describe the collected experimental evidence in support of a radical mechanism for this transformation.⁴

As shown in Scheme 1 treatment of the cholesterol-derived secondary thionobenzoate **1^s** with 1.5 equiv. of Bu₃SnH and catalytic quantities of AIBN in refluxing toluene (ca. 110 °C) afforded a mixture of cholesterol **1b** and the deoxygenated derivative **1c** in 42 and 46% yield respectively, and in accordance with earlier observations.^{6,7} When the reaction was repeated in the presence of 5.0 equiv. of Bu₃SnH, we obtained a mixture of the benzyl ether derivative **1a** and **1b** in 49 and 38% yields respectively. Gratifyingly, utilization of Ph₃SnH instead of Bu₃SnH, under otherwise identical conditions, provided exclusively the benzyl ether **1a** in 97% isolated yield (Scheme 1, entry 3). In an attempt to curtail the formation of



Entry	R	equiv. of R ₃ SnH	Yield(%)	Yield(%)	Yield(%)
1	Bu ⁿ	1.5	0	42	46
2	Bu ⁿ	5.0	49	38	trace
3	Ph	5.0	97	trace	trace




Entry	R	equiv. of R ₃ SnH	Yield(%)	Yield(%)
1	Bu ⁿ	1.5	21	58
2	Bu ⁿ	5.0	0	77
3	Ph	5.0	91	trace

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, R₃SnH, 0.05 equiv. of AIBN, toluene (0.01 mol dm⁻³ based on substrate), 110 °C, 20 min


deoxygenated products, we subjected the primary alcohol-derived thionobenzoate **2** to the above reaction (Scheme 1), since it is well known that primary alkyl radicals cannot be generated under such conditions. Indeed, in all these experiments benzyl ether **2a** and alcohol **2b** were the only products formed. Furthermore, and in consistence with the above results, reaction of **2** with Ph₃SnH produced almost exclusively **2a** (91% yield).[†]

The formation of the deoxygenated derivative **1c** can be explained *via* the known Barton–McCombie radical deoxygenation mechanism (Scheme 2, path c, **I** → **II** → **VII**).⁷ In accordance with this scheme the relatively stable carbon radical intermediate **II** can be further reduced to **III**. Subsequent radical desulfurisation of **III** should then provide benzyl ether **IV** (Scheme 2, path a), while, alternatively, cleavage of the mixed thioketal functionality within **III** could account for the formation of the alcohol **VI** (Scheme 2, path b).

In order to provide support for this mechanism we treated thionolactone **3** with 1.5 equiv. of Ph₃SnH and were able to isolate the stable mixed thioketal **3'** in 86% yield (Scheme 3).[‡] Furthermore upon treatment of **3'** with additional 3.0 equiv. of Ph₃SnH under radical conditions (catalytic AIBN, toluene, 110 °C), a smooth reaction took place affording benzyl ether **3a** and the bis(triphenyltin) sulfide **V** in 88 and 78% isolated yields



Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism of reductive desulfurisation



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, 1.5 equiv. of Ph₃SnH, 0.05 equiv. of AIBN, toluene (0.01 mol dm⁻³ based on substrate), 110 °C, 10 min, 86%; ii, 3.0 equiv. of Ph₃SnH, 0.05 equiv. of AIBN, toluene (0.01 mol dm⁻³ based on substrate), 110 °C, 20 min, 88% of **3a** and 78% of bis-(triphenyltin)sulfide **V**

respectively. It is noteworthy that in the absence of AIBN no reaction was observed, excluding an ionic mechanism for path a.

We attribute the difference in the reaction pathway to the different behaviour and stability of the Sn-H bond.¹ The less reactive Bu₃SnH acts simultaneously as a hydrogen radical donor and as a Lewis acid (path a and b respectively) producing ultimately IV and VI. In contrast, the more reactive Ph₃SnH acts

solely as a hydrogen radical donor giving rise exclusively to the benzyl ether IV (Scheme 2).

The results summarised in Table 1 demonstrate the versatility and generality of this new reaction. Primary or secondary alcohol-derived thionoesters (entries 1, 2, 4, 5) are smoothly converted to the corresponding ethers in high overall yields. In addition, thionolactones (entries 3, 6–10) can be easily reduced to the corresponding cyclic ethers with good yield and with no observed formation of ring-opened by-products. The general applicability of this reaction is further exemplified by the smooth transformation of the 14-membered dithionolactone 10 (entry 10) to the corresponding cyclic ether 10a in a single step and in 72% yield.

In summary, we describe herein a general method for the one-step conversion of thionoesters and thionolactones to their corresponding ethers, and propose a radical mechanism for this reduction. Since the thiocarbonyl functionality can be conveniently obtained by thionation of esters and lactones, this process allows ultimately for the conversion of esters to ethers. It is anticipated that this method will find wide use as a mild and efficient procedure for the formation of linear and cyclic ethers.

We thank Drs Dee H. Huang and Gary Siuzdak for their superb NMR and MS assistance. Financial support from the Scripps Research Institute, the University of California, San Diego, the National Institutes of Health and the UNITIKA Ltd Company is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 12th May 1995; Com. 5/03018H

Table 1 Reduction of thionoesters and thionolactones^a

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^b
1			97
2			91
3			95 ^c
4			93
5			94
6			79
7			77
8			90 ^d
9			99
10			72

^a Reagents and conditions: 5.0 equiv. of Ph₃SnH, 0.05 equiv. of AIBN, toluene (0.01 mol dm⁻³; based on substrate), 110 °C, 20 min; TBS = Me₂SiBu^t. ^b Isolated yield after column chromatography. ^{c,d} Bis(triphenyltin)sulfide V was also isolated in 78 and 75% yield, respectively.

Footnotes

† All new compounds exhibited satisfactory spectral, analytical and/or exact mass data. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically homogeneous materials.

‡ General procedure (3 → 3a): To a solution of the thionolactone 3 (525 mg, 1 mmol) and Ph₃SnH (1.7 g, 5.0 mmol) in toluene (100 ml) at 110 °C was added 2,2'-azobis(iso-butyronitrile) (24 mg, 0.15 mmol) in small intervals and over a period of 1 hour. The progress of the reaction was followed by TLC and after complete disappearance of the starting material the reaction mixture was concentrated and subjected to flash chromatography [silica, 5 → 20% diethyl ether in hexane] to give ether 3a (469 mg, 0.95 mmol, 95%).

§ Selected physical and spectral data for 3': Colourless oil; *R*_f = 0.46 (silica, 25% diethyl ether in hexane); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2988 (m), 2934 (m), 1454 (m), 1379 (m), 1098 (s), 1062 (s), 1021 (m), 998 (m), 730 (m) and 697 (s); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) (major isomer) δ 7.79–7.63 (m, 6 H, ArH), 7.43–7.25 (m, 19 H, ArH), 5.26 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, SCH), 4.55 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CH/Ph), 4.50 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.37 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CH/Ph), 3.65–3.59 (m, 2 H, OCH), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 3.27 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 3.08 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 3.5 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 2.11–1.90 (m, 6 H, CH), 1.38–1.16 (m, 6 H, CH), 1.20 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.11 (s, 3 H, CH₃) and 1.7 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.5, 138.4, 138.2, 136.9, 136.8, 136.8, 136.7, 136.6, 129.4, 129.4, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.5, 127.5, 79.9, 78.5, 77.9, 77.3, 76.8, 73.0, 72.6, 72.4, 70.8, 65.9, 44.1, 40.2, 40.1, 36.5, 28.8, 22.2, 19.5, 18.0 and 17.2; HRMS, calcd for C₄₉H₅₆O₅SSnCs (M + Cs⁺): 1009.1925, found 1009.1968.

3a Colourless oil; *R*_f = 0.23 (silica, 25% diethyl ether in hexane); IR (film) $\nu_{\max}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 2986 (m), 2933 (s), 2860 (s), 1454 (m), 1378 (m), 1274 (m), 1207 (m), 1097 (s), 1062 (s), 1027 (m), 734 (m), 697 (m), 666 (m) and 609 (s); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.31–7.08 (m, 10 H, ArH), 4.38 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CH/Ph), 4.32 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.21 (d, *J* = 11.5 Hz, 1 H, CH/Ph), 3.80 (dt, *J* = 9.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CH), 3.70 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 3.66–3.57 (m, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 3.47–3.39 (m, 2 H, CHO), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 9.0, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, CHO), 2.22 (dd, *J* = 11.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H, CH), 2.17–2.12 (m, 2 H, CH), 1.97–1.93 (m, 2 H, CH), 1.90–1.87 (m, 1 H, CH), 1.42–1.31 (m, 6 H, CH), 1.38 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.37 (s, 3 H, CH₃) and 1.28 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.5, 138.5, 128.3, 128.3, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 78.4, 78.0, 73.0, 72.6, 70.9, 68.1, 66.0, 44.6, 40.3, 40.3, 29.4, 28.3, 21.8, 21.4, 19.7 and 17.4; HRMS, calcd for C₃₁H₄₂O₅Cs (M + Cs⁺): 627.2087, found 627.2060.

¶ The starting thionoesters and thionolactones were prepared (68–89% yield) from the corresponding esters and lactones by thionation with Lawesson's reagent at 140 °C in toluene for 8–24 h.

References

- 1 B. Giese, in *Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon–Carbon Bonds*, ed. J. E. Baldwin, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1986 and refs. cited therein; W. B. Motherwell and D. Crich, *Free-Radical Chain Reactions in Organic Synthesis*, Academic Press, London, 1992; D. H. R. Barton and S. I. Parekh, *Half a Century of Radicals: Lezioni Lincee*, CUP, Cambridge, 1993.
- 2 D. H. R. Barton and S. Z. Zard, *Pure & Appl. Chem.*, 1986, **58**, 675; D. Crich and L. Quintero, *Chem. Rev.*, 1989, **89**, 1413; D. H. R. Barton, *Aldrichim. Acta*, 1990, **23**, 3.
- 3 K. C. Nicolaou, D. G. McGarry, P. K. Somers, C. A. Veale and G. T. Furst, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 2504; K. C. Nicolaou, C.-K. Hwang, M. A. Duggan and P. J. Carroll, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 3801; K. C. Nicolaou, C.-K. Hwang, S. A. DeFres and N. A. Stylianides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 4868.
- 4 For a similar reduction using Raney Ni see: S. L. Baxter and J. S. Bradshaw, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **46**, 832; A. G. M. Barrett and A. C. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1992, **57**, 2818.
- 5 S. Achmatowicz, D. H. R. Barton, P. D. Magnus, G. A. Poulton and P. J. West, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1973, 1567.
- 6 E. M. Acton, R. N. Goemer, H. S. Uh, K. J. Ryan, D. W. Henry, C. E. Cass and G. A. LePage, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1975, **22**, 518; M. J. Robins, J. S. Wilson and F. Hannske, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 4059; D. R. Williams and J. L. Moore, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1983, **24**, 339.
- 7 D. H. R. Barton and S. W. McCombie, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1975, 1574.